

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/347,427	07/06/1999	RODNEY L. CLARK	M3477.0000/P	3839	
24998	7590 06/05/2002				
	DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EXAMINER	
	2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526		VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732	12.	
			DATE MAILED: 06/05/2002	' 7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		MEI				
	Application No. 09/347, 427	Applicant(s) RAUSEO et al-				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit				
	H. UMRGOT	1732				
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address—				
P riod for Reply	- ^	r42				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	0 EXPIRE 30 12	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaut Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the materm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	eply within the statutory minin It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi tute, cause the application to	num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3(1102		•				
☐ This action is FINAL.						
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 		ecution as to the merits is closed in				
Disposition of Claims						
\times Claim(s) $3-5$, $7-9+12-20$						
Of the above claim(s)						
□ Claim(s)						
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.				
\Box Claim(s) $3-5$, $7-9+12-20$		is/are objected to.				
$X = \frac{3-5}{1-9} + 12-20$						
Application Papers		requirement				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		disapproved.				
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) — Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority of the control of the contro	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	(d).				
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:						
$\hfill \Box$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been r	eceived.					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	eceived in Application No					
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document	s have been received					
in this national stage application from the Internationa	,	•				
*Certified copies not received:		<u> </u>				
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	rview Summary, PTO-413					
☐ Notice of R ference(s) Cited, PTO-892	tice of Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	her					

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. 17

Application/Control Number: 09/347,427

Art Unit: 1732

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 3, 4, 12-14 and 17-20, drawn to a method and mold to make optical elements, classified in class 264, subclass 2.5.
 - II. Claims 5, 7-9, 15 and 16, drawn to a method and mold to make optical elements, classified in class 264, subclass 1.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are considered to be independent or distinct in that they have separate utility in the art. While each group may indeed be directed to making optical elements, the aspect of using mold pins to define the cavities as required in Group I is not required for Group II and the aspect of removing one of the mold sections to form different sized cavities and different sized/characteristic products therefrom as set forth in Group II claims is not required in Group I claims. Hence, the inventions are clearly distinguishable and capable of supporting two separate patents.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Thronson on May 31, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Application/Control Number: 09/347,427

Art Unit: 1732

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

Page 3

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 2.

should be directed to M. Vargot whose telephone number is 703 308-2621.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0661.

M. Vargot

June 3, 2002

6/3/02